IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 420 OF 2004
IN
SECOND APPEAL (ST.) NO.8090 OF 2004

Sakharam Ramdas Patil ...Applicant

Versus

Sonabai Narayan Patil & Ors. ...Respondents

.....

Mr. Uday Warunjikar, counsel for Applicants

.....

CORAM: B. H. MARLAPALLE, J.

DATED: 27TH APRIL, 2005

P. C.:-

. Heard Mr. Warunjikar, the learned counsel for the applicant plaintiff. In filing Second Appeal under Stamp No. 8090 of 2004, delay of 28 days has been caused and hence, this application for condonation of delay. The explanation furnished in para 2 and 3 of this application makes out good and sufficient reasons for condoning the delay of 28 days. Hence, this application is allowed in terms of prayer clause (a).

*****